

BEFORE
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF
SOUTH CAROLINA
DOCKET NO. 95-752-C ORDER NO. 95-1531 ✓
SEPTEMBER 15, 1995

IN RE: Application of Long Distance Services,) ORDER
Inc. DBA Long Distance Services of) APPROVING
Virginia for a Certificate of Public) CERTIFICATE
Convenience Convenience and Necessity for)
Authority to Provide Resold Intrastate)
Interexchange Telecommunications Services)
within the State of South Carolina.)

This matter comes before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (the Commission) by way of the Application of Long Distance Services, Inc. DBA Long Distance Services of Virginia (LDS or the Company) requesting a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity authorizing it to operate as a reseller of telecommunications services in the State of South Carolina. The Company's Application was filed pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. §58-9-280 (Supp. 1993) and the Regulations of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina.

The Commission's Executive Director instructed LDS to publish, one time, a prepared Notice of Filing in newspapers of general circulation in the affected areas. The purpose of the Notice of Filing was to inform interested parties of LDS' Application and of the manner and time in which to file the appropriate pleadings for participation in the proceeding. LDS complied with this instruction and provided the Commission with proof of publication

of the Notice of Filing. A Petition to Intervene was filed by the Consumer Advocate for the State of South Carolina (the Consumer Advocate). LDS and the Consumer advocate subsequently reached an accord, and the Consumer Advocate advised the Commission that it does not wish to participate in any hearing held in this matter.

A public hearing was commenced on September 6, 1995, at 10:30 am, in the Commission's Hearing Room. The Honorable Rudolph Mitchell, Chairman, presided. LDS was represented by Frank R. Ellerbe, III, Esquire; F. David Butler, General Counsel, represented the Commission Staff.

In support of its Application, LDS presented the testimony of Robert Motter, Director of Sales and Marketing for the Company. Motter explained the Company's request for authority to provide interexchange telecommunications services in South Carolina as a non-facilities based reseller. Motter described the Company's services, its managerial, technological, and financial resources, and its marketing procedures. Motter also testified that LDS would amend its tariff to reflect a maximum rate schedule and a current rate schedule (or price list), and amend its tariff to accommodate Staff's other requests. Motter stated that LDS will provide its services in compliance with the Commission's rules and regulations.

After full consideration of the applicable law, the Company's Application, the evidence presented by the Company and the Commission Staff, the Commission hereby issues its findings of fact and conclusions of law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Company is incorporated under the laws of the State of Virginia, and has received a Certificate of Authority to Transact Business as a Foreign Corporation in the State of South Carolina.

2. LDS operates as a non-facilities based reseller of interexchange services and wishes to do so in South Carolina.

3. LDS has the experience, capability, and financial resources to provide the services as described in its Application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Based on the above findings of fact, the Commission determines that a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity should be granted to LDS to provide intrastate interLATA service and to originate and terminate toll traffic in the same LATA, as set forth herein, through the resale of intrastate Wide Area Telecommunications Services (WATS), Message Telecommunications Services (MTS), Foreign Exchange Service, Private Line Service, or any other services authorized for resale by tariffs of carriers approved by the Commission.

2. The Commission adopts a rate design for LDS for its resale services which includes only maximum rate levels for each tariff charge. A rate structure incorporating maximum rate levels with the flexibility for adjustment below the maximum rate levels has been previously adopted by the Commission. In Re: Application of GTE Sprint Communications Corporation, etc., Order No. 84-622, issued in Docket No. 84-10-C (August 2, 1984).

3. LDS shall not adjust its rates below the approved maximum

level without notice to the Commission and to the public. LDS shall file its proposed rate changes, publish its notice of such changes, and file affidavits of publication with the Commission two weeks prior to the effective date of the changes. However, the public notice requirement is waived, and therefore not required, for reductions below the maximum cap in instances which do not affect the general body of subscribers or do not constitute a general rate reduction. In Re: Application of GTE Sprint Communications, etc., Order No. 93-638, issued in Docket No. 84-10-C (July 16, 1993). Any proposed increase in the maximum rate level reflected in the tariff which would be applicable to the general body of the Company's subscribers shall constitute a general ratemaking proceeding and will be treated in accordance with the notice and hearing provisions of S.C. Code Ann. §58-9-540 (Supp. 1994).

4. LDS is subject to access charges pursuant to Commission Order No. 86-584, in which the Commission determined that for access purposes resellers should be treated similarly to facilities-based interexchange carriers.

5. With regard to the Company's resale of service, an end-user should be able to access another interexchange carrier or operator service provided if they so desire.

6. LDS shall resell the services of only those interexchange carriers or LECs authorized to do business in South Carolina by this Commission. If LDS changes underlying carriers, it shall notify the Commission in writing.

7. With regard to the origination and the termination of toll traffic in the same LATA, LDS shall comply with the terms of Order No. 93-462, Order Approving Stipulation and Agreement, in Docket Nos. 92-182-C, 92-183-C, and 92-200-C (June 3, 1993).

8. LDS shall file surveillance reports on a calendar or fiscal year basis with the Commission as required by Order No. 88-178 in Docket No. 87-483-C. The proper form for these reports is indicated on Attachment A.

9. LDS shall file its revised tariff and accompanying price list reflecting the findings herein and its agreed upon tariff amendments within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. The revised tariff shall be consistent with the Commission's Rules and Regulations. Further, the tariff shall be filed with the Commission in a loose-leaf binder.

10. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until further Order of the Commission.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:


CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:


Executive Director

(SEAL)

DOCKET NO. 95-752-C - ORDER NO. 95-1531
SEPTEMBER 15, 1995
ATTACHMENT A

ANNUAL INFORMATION ON SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS
FOR INTEREXCHANGE COMPANIES AND AOS'S

COMPANY NAME

FEI NO.

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

PHONE NUMBER

(1) SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATING REVENUES FOR THE 12 MONTHS ENDING
DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING _____.

(2) SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATING EXPENSES FOR THE 12 MONTHS ENDING
DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING _____.

(3) RATE BASE INVESTMENT IN SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS* FOR 12
MONTHS ENDING DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING _____.

* THIS WOULD INCLUDE GROSS PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION,
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES, CASH WORKING CAPITAL, CONSTRUCTION
WORK IN PROGRESS, ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAX,
CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION AND CUSTOMER DEPOSITS.

(4) PARENT'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE* AT DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR
ENDING _____.

* THIS WOULD INCLUDE ALL LONG TERM DEBT (NOT THE CURRENT PORTION
PAYABLE), PREFERRED STOCK AND COMMON EQUITY.

(5) PARENT'S EMBEDDED COST PERCENTAGE (%) FOR LONG TERM DEBT AND
EMBEDDED COST PERCENTAGE (%) FOR PREFERRED STOCK AT YEAR ENDING
DECEMBER 31 OR FISCAL YEAR ENDING _____.

(6) ALL DETAILS ON THE ALLOCATION METHOD USED TO DETERMINE THE
AMOUNT OF EXPENSES ALLOCATED TO SOUTH CAROLINA OPERATIONS AS
WELL AS METHOD OF ALLOCATION OF COMPANY'S RATE BASE INVESTMENT
(SEE #3 ABOVE).

SIGNATURE

NAME (PLEASE TYPE OF PRINT)

TITLE